

# Assessment of the Relation of Mandibular Cortical Index and Gonial Angle Size in an Adult Iranian Population Using Digital Panoramic Radiography

Sanam Mirbeigi<sup>1</sup> Ehsan Tavakoli<sup>1</sup>, Yasaman Sabaghzadegan<sup>2</sup> Fatemeh Ezoddiniardakani<sup>1</sup>, Mahkameh Moshfeghi<sup>\*3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dept. of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, Dental School, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

<sup>2</sup> Dentist, Yazd, Iran.

<sup>3</sup> Dept. of oral and maxillofacial radiology, School of Dentistry, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

## Abstract

**Objectives:** This study sought to assess the relation of mandibular cortical index (MCI) with age, gender and gonial angle size in an Iranian adult population using digital panoramic radiography.

**Methods:** We evaluated 370 digital panoramic radiographs of patients and divided them into five 10-year age groups. Each radiograph was assigned to low ( $\leq 120^\circ$ ) or high ( $\geq 125^\circ$ ) angle groups in terms of the gonial angle size. The MCI class was also determined for each individual. The multinomial logistic regression was used to assess statistical differences.

**Results:** The MCI class was significantly different between males and females and MCI class 3 had higher prevalence among older individuals. There was no statistically significant difference in distribution of MCI classes between individuals with high and low gonial angles.

**Conclusion:** Age-related changes in MCI support its potential use for detection of skeletal osteopenia.

**Key Words:** Mandible; Radiography, Panoramic; Cortical Bone

## How to cite:

Mirbeigi S, Tavakoli E, Sabaghzadegan Y, Ezoddiniardakani F, Moshfeghi M. Assessment of the Relation of Mandibular Cortical Index and Amount of Gonial Angle in an Adult Iranian Population Using Digital Panoramic Radiography. *J Dent Sch 2016; 34(4): 244-52.*

\*Corresponding Author:

Moshfeghi M.

E-mail:

mahkameh\_moshfeghi@yahoo.com

Received: 01.05.2016

Accepted: 14.11.2016

## Introduction

Differences exist in trabeculation and density of bone in different individuals, which do not necessarily reflect bone mineral status (1,2). Estimating the quality of bone merely based on the trabecular or radiographic density is often misleading due to the effect of factors such as the soft tissue shadow or higher exposure settings (3).

Alveolar bone metabolism after tooth extraction is influenced by the surgical procedure (4), denture pressure (5-7) and some other factors affecting bone metabolism in general (8,9). In 1997, a

reliable method was introduced by Klemetti and Kolmakow (3) for assessment of bone quality prior to implant placement. The MCI also known as the Klemetti index has been proposed for assessment of osteopenia/osteoporosis of the mandibular cortex on panoramic radiographs (1,10). The mandibular bone mineral density is correlated with skeletal bone mineral density (1,11). Dentists play an important role in detection of patients suspected for osteopenia by assessing the mandibular bone density. In case of detecting mandibular osteopenia, they should refer the patient for dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)(12).

The smaller the gonial angle, the stronger the bite force (13-15). Evidence shows that increased bite force enhances the quality and quantity (volume) of bone (16). Similar previous studies on bone morphometric changes on panoramic radiographs have mainly focused on age, sex, menopause status and edentulism of patients and only a few studies have tended to morphological differences such as the size of gonial angle (1,2,5,12). Thus, this study sought to assess the correlation of MCI class with age, gender and gonial angle size in an Iranian adult population using digital panoramic radiography. Also, the MCI class and gonial angle size were determined in a sample of Iranian population in the age range of 20-69 years.

## Methods

A total of 370 digital panoramic radiographs of patients presenting to the School of Dentistry of Yazd University of Medical Sciences during 2011-2013 were evaluated. Patients with at least eight teeth in each jaw with no history of denture use or fracture who had high quality panoramic radiographs for assessment of mandibular cortex were included in this study. Radiographs had been requested for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. All digital panoramic radiographs were taken with Proline X panoramic X-ray unit (Planmeca, Helsinki, Finland) with maximum exposure settings of 80 kV, 12 mA and 18 seconds of exposure time. The exposure settings were adjusted depending on patient conditions. Radiographs were saved and observed by an oral and maxillofacial radiologist on a 17-inch flat

medical monitor (LG, Seoul, South Korea) under standard viewing conditions. The gonial angle size was measured on each panoramic radiograph using Romexis software (Planmeca, Helsinki, Finland) (17). Values  $\leq 120^\circ$  were assigned to low and values  $\geq 125^\circ$  were assigned to high angle group. Values in-between these two limits were excluded from the study (18). To assess the effect of age, patients were divided into five age groups of 19-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59 and 60-69 years. Classification of MCI in patients was done according to Klemetti *et al* (19). By assessing the inferior cortex of the mandible distal to the mental foramina, each radiograph was assigned to one of the following classes:

MCI class 1. Smooth and clear endosteal margin of the inferior cortex of the mandible in both sides

MCI class 2. Semilunar defects in endosteal cortical residues (one to three layers) in one or both sides

MCI class 3. The inferior cortex of the mandible is clearly porous in one or both sides (19).

To assess the intraobserver reliability, the MCI class was determined again in 50% of the individuals after two months and the kappa coefficient was calculated.

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The multinomial logistic regression was used for statistical analysis.

## Results

A total of 370 digital panoramic radiographs of 185 females and 185 males with a mean age of  $42.5 \pm 12.7$  years (range 19-69 years)

were evaluated. The mean size of gonial angle was  $123.67 \pm 7.4^\circ$ . This value was  $124.21 \pm 7.7^\circ$  in males and  $123.1 \pm 7.2^\circ$  in females.

Among different age groups, the highest mean gonial angle size ( $124.35^\circ$ ) belonged to the age group of 40-49 years and the lowest mean gonial angle size ( $122.93^\circ$ ) belonged to the age group of 60-69 years. Tables 1 and 2 show the mean values of gonial angle size based on sex and age.

**Table 1- The mean size of gonial angle in males and females**

Gender	Number	Mean size of gonial angle (degrees)	Standard Deviation
Females	185	124.24	7.205
Males	185	123.11	7.716
Total	370	123.67	7.476

For determination of intraobserver reliability for MCI classification, the Kappa coefficient was calculated to be 0.65 indicative of good reliability (20). In our study, 71.6% of individuals had MCI class 1, 21.1% had MCI class 2 and 7.3% had MCI class 3.

Patients with MCI class 3 were mostly in the age range of 60-69 years, and individuals in the age groups of 19-29 years and 30-39 years had the lowest frequency of MCI class 3.

**Table 2- The mean size of gonial angle in different age groups**

Age group (years)	Number	Mean size of gonial angle (degrees)	Standard Deviation
19-29	75	124.93	8.604
30-39	75	124.16	7.557
40-49	74	124.35	8.392
50-59	72	122.98	6.562
60-69	74	123.93	5.964
Total	370	123.67	7.476

The frequency of MCI class 3 was higher in females. Tables 3 and 4 present the frequency distribution of MCI classes based on the individuals' age and gender. Table 5 shows the MCI class of individuals based on the size of their gonial angle. The multinomial logistic regression found no significant association between the size of gonial angle and MCI class (Table 6).

**Table 3- The frequency distribution of MCI classes in different age groups**

Age (years)/MCI class	MCI class 1		MCI class 2		MCI class 3		Total
	Number	(%)	Number	(%)	Number	(%)	Number
19-29	73	97.3	2	2.7	0	0	75
30-39	72	96	3	4	0	0	75
40-49	63	85.1	9	2.2	2	2.7	74
50-59	38	52.8	27	37.5	7	9.7	72
60-69	19	25.7	37	50	18	24.3	74
Total	265	71.6	78	21.1	27	7.3	370

**Table 4- The frequency distribution of MCI classes in males and females**

Gender/MCI class	MCI class 1		MCI class 2		MCI class 3		Total	
	Number	(%)	Number	(%)	Number	(%)	Number	(%)
Males	142	76.8	39	21.1	4	2.2	185	50
Females	123	66.5	39	21.1	23	12.4	185	50
Total	265	71.6	78	21.1	27	7.3	370	100

**Table 5- The frequency distribution of MCI classes based on the size of gonial angle**

Size of gonial angle/MCI class	MCI class 1		MCI class 2		MCI class 3		Total	
	Number	(%)	Number	(%)	Number	(%)	Number	(%)
Gonial angle $\leq 120^\circ$	135	74.1	37	20.3	10	5.4	182	50
Gonial angle $\geq 125^\circ$	130	69.1	41	21.8	17	9	188	50
Total	256	69.1	78	21	27	7.2	370	100

**Table 6- The multinomial logistic regression of MCI class 3 for gender, age and size of gonial angle**

MCI class 3	Standard Error	P value
19-29	0.780	0.000
30-39	0.664	0.000
40-49	0.829	0.000
50-59	0.571	0.002
Male	0.617	0.000
Low gonial angle	0.506	0.110

Multinomial regression analysis showed that although there were statistically significant differences for age and sex, the gonial angle size was not correlated with MCI class 3.

## Discussion

The participants evaluated in the current study were true representatives of a typical Iranian adult population since they were selected among those presenting to the Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology Department of School of Dentistry, Yazd University of Medical Sciences from different departments.

Similar to any other bone in the human body, muscle attachments of the jaws cause functional tension and by reinforcement of bone, they prevent mineral loss and bone resorption (3). Inferior and buccal cortices of the mandible are areas under strong muscle tension (1,2).

The MCI classification is based on the thickness and resorption of the inferior cortex of the mandible on panoramic radiographs (19). Panoramic radiography is extensively used in dentistry for assessment of teeth and their supporting bone. Since previous studies have found a correlation between the mandibular bone mineral density and that of lumbar and hip bones, patients diagnosed with mandibular osteopenia should be referred for bone densitometry with the use of more advanced techniques (21-23). The reproducibility of this index has reported to be 98% in a study by Klementti *et al* (19). The kappa coefficient in our study was calculated to be 0.65, which indicates good intraobserver reliability (24). Our study showed that 71.6% of individuals had MCI class 1, 21.1% had MCI class 2 and 7.3% had MCI class 3. All cases of MCI class 3 were older than 40 years. Number of MCI class 3 cases was significantly higher among females than males (23 versus 4 cases). Also, number of MCI class 3 cases among older age groups was significantly different from that in younger age groups. Similarly, Osato *et al.* (18) reported higher cases of MCI class 3 in females. Knezović Zlatarić *et al.* (25) assessed the MCI in 48 to 52 year-olds wearing removable dentures. They found no female patients with MCI class 1 among

their study population. They did not find any significant difference in the frequency of MCI class 2 between males and females. The frequency of MCI class 3 significantly increased in older females. This finding shows the effect of age on bone loss. Since the first signs of bone loss appear at the age of 30 years, these results are justifiable (26). Haseter *et al.* (27) assessed the MCI in 60-88 year-olds with and without osteoporosis and found that MCI was significantly influenced by gender. The frequency of MCI class 1 was higher in males than females and MCI class 2 and 3 had a higher frequency in females than males. Patients with MCI class 3 were all females. The frequency of MCI class 1, 2 and 3 was 28.6%, 68.5% and 2.8% in females and 78.2%, 21.8% and 0% in males, respectively. In their study, 3.2% of osteoporotic patients had MCI class 3, 71.4% had MCI class 2 and 25.4% had MCI class 1. No case of MCI class 3 was found and this may be due to the fact that 93.7% of osteoporotic patients were females.

In studies by Ledgerton *et al.*, (12) and Klementti *et al.*, (19) the relative frequency of MCI classes was equal in the age group of 45-54 years but Taghuchi *et al.*, (23) in their study on 32-68 year-old Japanese females reported higher frequency of MCI class 1. The controversy in the results of the aforementioned studies may be attributed to ethnic differences or variations in the interpretation and classifications of MCI.

In general, MCI class 3 is more common among edentulous patients, post-menopausal women and patients with removable prosthesis (19,23,25,28). In our study, size of gonial angle was not correlated with the MCI class but Osato *et al.* (18) found higher

frequency of MCI class 2 in males with smaller gonial angles. Individuals with a smaller gonial angle have greater muscle strength (bite force) and more complex mandibular remodeling. They explained that higher frequency of MCI class 2 in males with small gonial angle might be due to difficulties in differentiating MCI class 1 and 2 (1). Huuonen *et al.* (17) found larger gonial angles in females and stated that smaller gonial angles in males are responsible for their greater bite force. Xie and Ainamo (15) Durla *et al.*, (29) and Karoshah *et al.* (30) reported the same results. In the current study, no significant difference was found in the size of gonial angle between males and females. Our results in this regard were in line with those of Ohm and Silness (31) Raustia and Salonen (32) and Ayoub *et al.* (33). Ceylan *et al.* (34) reported that gender could not be the only reason for the differences in the size of gonial angle.

In our study, aging had no effect on the size of gonial angle, which is in line with the results of Osato *et al.* (18). However, some studies have shown that by an increase in age and occurrence of edentulism, the gonial angle becomes larger (35,36). Such controversial results may be attributed to the cause of edentulism because patients evaluated in our study and in the study by Osato *et al.* (18) were dentate and were not wearing denture. Studies have shown that in edentulous ridges, decreased function results in bone loss (1).

In a study by Haseter *et al.*, (27) the MCI classes showed significant variations in different dental states. Most MCI class 3 patients were partially edentulous. These

results confirm the hypothesis that absence of complete occlusion (partial edentulism) negatively affects the mandibular cortex and increases the MCI class.

Gulsahi *et al.* (37) reported that age was the most influential parameter on MCI class and older age increased the likelihood and frequency of MCI class 3. These results are in agreement with our findings. In their study, dental status was the second most influential factor on MCI class. In edentulous patients, the frequency of MCI class 3 was 3.27 times higher than that in dentate patients. In contrast to our study, they found no correlation between the MCI class and gender.

## Conclusion

Within the limitations of this study, the results showed that although significant

associations existed between the MCI class and gender and age, no such a correlation was found between the MCI class and size of gonial angle. Age and gender in dentate patients had smaller effects on the size of gonial angle compared to the MCI. On the other hand, no association was found between the size of gonial angle and the MCI class.

## Acknowledgement

This study was part of a thesis (#3093) for a DDS degree in Shahid Beheshti University, School of Dentistry. Authors would like to thank the research deputy of this university for their support.

**Conflict of interest:** “None Declared”

## References:

1. Klemetti E, Vainio P, Lassila V, Alhava E. Trabecular bone mineral density and alveolar height in postmenopausal women. *Scand J Dent Res.* 1993 Jun;101(3):166-70.
2. Von Wowern N, Stoltze K. Sex and age differences in bone morphology of mandibles. *Scand J Dent Res.* 1978 Dec;86(6):478-85.
3. Klemetti A, Kolmakow S. Morphology of the mandibular cortex on panoramic radiographs as an indicator of bone quality. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol.* 1997 Jan;26(1):22-5.
4. Von Wowern N, Hjørting-Hansen E, Stoltze K. Changes in bone mass in rat mandibles after tooth extraction. *Int J Oral Surg.* 1979 Jun;8(3):229-33.
5. Tallgren A. The continuing reduction of the residual alveolar ridges in complete denture wearers: a mixed-longitudinal study covering 25 years 1972. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2003 May;89(5):427-35.

6. Kelly E. Changes caused by a mandibular removable partial denture opposing a maxillary complete denture. 1972. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2003 Sep;90(3):213-9.
7. Klemetti E. A review of residual ridge resorption and bone density. *J Prosthet Dent* 1996; 75: 512-514.
8. Humphries S, Devlin H, Worthington H. A radiographic investigation into bone resorption of mandibular alveolar bone in elderly edentulous adults. *J Dent*. 1989 Apr;17(2):94-6.
9. Klemetti E, Vainio P. Effect of bone mineral density in skeleton and mandible on extraction of teeth and clinical alveolar height. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1993 Jul;70(1):21-5.
10. Klemetti E, Collin HL, Forss H, Markkanen H, Lassila V. Mineral status of skeleton and advanced periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1994 Mar;21(3):184-8.
11. Horner K, Devlin H, Alsop CW, Hodgkinson IM, Adams JE. Mandibular bone mineral density as a predictor of skeletal osteopenia. *Br J Radiol*. 1996 Nov;69(827):1019-25.
12. Ledgerton D, Horner K, Devlin H, Worthington H. Radiomorphometric indices of the mandible in a British female population. *Dentomaxillofac. Radiol. Dentomaxillofac Radiol*. 1999 May;28(3):173-81.
13. Ringqvist, M. Isometric bite force and its relations of the facial skeleton. *Acta Odontol. Scand*. 1973;31:35-42.
14. Sondang P, Kumagai H, Tanaka E, Ozaki H, Nikawa H, Tanne K, et al. Correlation between maximum bite force and craniofacial morphology of young adults in Indonesia. *J. Oral Rehabil*. 2003 Nov;30(11):1109-17.
15. Xie QF, Ainamo A. Correlation of gonial angle size with cortical thickness, height of the mandibular residual body, and duration of edentulism. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2004 May;91(5):477-82.
16. Jonasson G, Kiliaridis S. The association between the masseter muscle, the mandibular alveolar bone mass and thickness in dentate women. *Arch. Oral Biol*. 2004 Dec;49(12):1001-6.
17. Huumonen S, Sipila K, Haikola B, Tapio M, Soderholm AL, Remes-Lyly Tet al. Influence of edentulousness on gonial angle, ramus and condylar height. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2010 Jan;37(1):34-8.

18. Osato S, Kuroyama I, Nakajima S, Ogawa T, Misaki K. Differences in 5 anatomic parameters of mandibular body morphology by gonial angle size in dentulous Japanese subjects. *Ann Anat.* 2012 Sep;194(5):446-51.
19. Klemetti E, Kolmakov S, Kroger H. Pantomography in assessment of the osteoporosis risk group. *Scand J Dent Res.* 1994 Feb;102(1):68-72.
20. Lu MJ, Zhong WH, Liu YX, Miao HZ, Li YC, Ji MH. Sample size for assessing agreement between two methods of measurement by bland-altman method. *Int J Biostat.* 2016 Nov 1;12(2).
21. Kröger Ç, Heikkinen J, Laitinen K, Kotaniemi A. Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry in normal women: a cross-sectional study of 717 Finnish volunteers. *Osteoporosis.* 1992 May;2(3):135-40.
22. Horner K, Devlin H. The relationships between two indices of mandibular bone quality and bone mineral density measured by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 1998 Jan;27(1):17-21.
23. Taguchi A, Sueti Y, Ohtsuka M, Otani K, Tanimoto K, Ohtaki M. Usefulness of panoramic radiography in the diagnosis of postmenopausal osteoporosis in women. Width and morphology of the inferior cortex of the mandible. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 1996 Nov;25(5):263-7.
24. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics.* 1977 Mar;33(1):159-74.
25. Knezović Zlatarić D, Celebić A, Lazić B, Baucić I, Komar D, Stipetić-Ovcaricek J, et al. Influence of age and gender on radiomorphometric indices of the mandible in removable denture wearers. *Coll Antropol.* 2002 Jun;26(1):259-66.
26. Heersche JN, Bellows CG, Ishida Y. The decrease in bone mass associated with aging and menopause. *J. Prosthet.* 1998 Jan;79(1):14-6.
27. Haseter E, Yilmaz H, Orhan H. Evaluation of mental index, mandibular cortical index and panoramic mandibular index on dental panoramic radiographs in the elderly. *Eur. J. Dent.* 2011 Jan;5(1):60-7.
28. Yuzugullu B, Gulsahi A, Imirzalioglu P. Radiomorphometric indices and their relation to alveolar bone loss in completely edentulous Turkish patients : a retrospective study. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2009 Mar;101(3):160-5.



29. Dutra V, Yang J, Devlin H, Susin C. Mandibular bone remodeling in adults: evaluation of panoramic radiographs. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol.* 2004 Sep;33(5):323-8.
30. Karoshah MA, Almadani O, Ghaleb SS, Zaki MK, Fattah YA. Sexual dimorphism of the mandible in a modern Egyptian population. *J Forensic Leg Med.* 2010 May;17(4):213-5.
31. Ohm E, Silness J. Size of the mandibular jaw angle related to age, tooth retention and gender. *J Oral Rehabil.* 1999 Nov;26(11):883-91.
32. Raustia AM, Salonen MA. Gonial angles and condylar and ramus height of the mandible in complete denture wearers-a panoramic radiograph study. *J Oral Rehabil.* 1997 Jul;24(7):512-6.
33. Ayoub F, Rizk A, Yehya M, Cassia A, Chartouni S, Atiyeh F, et al. Sexual dimorphism of mandibular angle in alebanese sample. *J Forensic Leg Med.* 2009 Apr;16(3):121-4.
34. Ceylan G, Yanıkoglu N, Yılmaz AB, Ceylan Y. Changes in the mandibular angle in the dentulous and edentulous states. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1998 Dec;80(6):680-4.
35. Ohm, E, Silness J. Size of the mandibular jaw angel related to age, tooth retention and gender. *J Oral Rehabil.* 1999 Nov;26(11):883-91.
36. Merrot O, Vacher C, Merrot S, Godlewski G, Frigard, B, Goudot P. Changes in the edentate mandible in the elderly. *Surg Radiol Anat.* 2005 Nov;27(4):265-70.
37. Gulsahi A, Yüzügüllü B, Imirzalioglu P, Genc Y. Assessment of panoramic radiomorphometric indices in Turkish patients of different age groups, gender and dental status. *Dentomaxillofac.* 2008 Jul;37(5):288-92.