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Introduction

Adequate knowledge about the anatomy of the apex and the root canal system is necessary to prevent damage to the periodontal ligament. Some clinicians use the terms “apex” and “apical Foramen” as synonyms. The anatomic apex (AA) is defined as the tip of the root, the farthest part from the occlusal surface; while the apical foramen (AF) is the point at which the root canal exits the root and faces the periodontal ligament. It does not necessarily conform to AA and may be located mesially, distally, buccally or lingually relative to the apex. The apical constriction (AC) is the part of the root canal with the smallest diameter and is considered as the reference point for clinicians for apical termination limit in enlargement, cleaning, disinfection and filling procedures. AF to AC and AA to AF distances are of high importance in determining the accurate working length and achieving a successful treatment.

According to a study by Washington, mandibular first premolars have the highest failure rate, probably due to wide variations in their root canal morphology and higher incidence of untreated extra-canals. Several studies have shown that the incidence of different root canal configurations in mandibular premolars may vary among different ethnic or regional populations. Clinicians must consider these variations in the root canal morphology and configurations in different races during endodontic treatment.

There are several methods to study the root canal anatomy and morphology, such as cross-sectioning, grinding, conventional radiography, cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), micro-CT and clearing and injection of dye. Several studies have been performed to evaluate root canal morphology of mandibular premolars in the Iranian population. But, none of them evaluated the prevalence of anastomoses and accessory canals. This study was conducted to assess the morphological indices in the apical area including AC to AF, AF to AA and AA to AC distances and to evaluate the position of AF relative to the AA (deviations), the presence of deltas and accessory canals and the canal morphology in the mandibular first and second premolars in an Iranian population.

Materials and Methods

The study protocol was approved by ethics committee of...
Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (No. 21 in 16th meeting of ethics committee of Research Institute of Dental Sciences on 28 Feb. 2012). This descriptive cross sectional, ex-vivo study evaluated 50 extracted mandibular first premolars and 50 second premolars that had been collected from dental clinics of Tehran during one year and were stored in saline\textsuperscript{21}. All 22 municipal districts of Tehran were pooled and the samples were randomly selected using a table of random numbers. The sample size was calculated according to a study by Safi et al.,\textsuperscript{12} using Minitab software (Minitab 16 statistical software. Minitab Inc., State College, Pennsylvania, USA). The reason of extraction, age and gender of patients was not known. All the selected teeth had complete apices, intact roots and no root fracture or apical resorption. The calculus and soft or hard tissue residues were removed from the tooth surfaces and all samples were disinfected with 5.25% sodium hypochlorite (Golrang Co., Pakshou, Tehran, Iran) for 24 hours and stored in 0.9% saline. The ASH criteria\textsuperscript{22} for distinction between the first and second premolar anatomy, was used in this study. Teeth that were difficult to distinguish were excluded.

Access cavity was prepared using a fissure diamond bur (Jota AG, Rüthi, Switzerland) with high-speed handpiece (Kavo, West, Germany) was injected with a 27 gauge needle attached to a central suction system, in order to allow the dye to exit the canal. Finally, the access cavities were temporarily restored with Coltcosol (Aria Dent, Tehran, Iran) and wet cotton was applied on it for 10 minutes. Two layers of nail varnish were applied on the temporary restoration, especially on the margins. All the teeth were immersed in 5% nitric acid solution (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) at room temperature (20°C) for three days\textsuperscript{23}. The solution was agitated by hand using a stainless steel spoon, 3 times a day and changed daily. Subsequently, complete decalcification of the samples was examined using an explorer (by inspecting and tactile sensation). If complete decalcification was not apparent, the tooth was compared with an intact tooth using X-ray. Again, rinsing with tap water for another 4 hours and dehydration with 17% ethyl alcohol (Ararat Co., Tehran, Iran) for 24 hours were performed. The dehydrated teeth were then placed in methyl salicylate (B.P.63, Poland) for approximately 2 hours, which made them transparent and they were stored in the solution until the end of the study\textsuperscript{24}. The transparent teeth were observed under a stereomicroscope at x16 magnification and evaluated for apical morphology including the presence of accessory canals, apical delta, anastomoses and canal configurations according to the Vertucci’s classification\textsuperscript{25} and Gulabivala’s classification. The apical morphologic indices included the distances between AA and AC, AF and AA, and AF and AC, which were measured with 0.01 mm accuracy. A person, other than the practitioner who did the procedure, performed all the observations and evaluations. The prevalence and incidence rate of each morphologic index were calculated with 95% confidence interval in the Iranian population. Descriptive statistics (including tables, mean, mode, median and dispersion) was applied for data analysis.

**Results**

All mandibular premolars belonged to adult patients aged 18 to 65 years. Forty-eight teeth belonged to male and 52 belonged to female patients. The prevalence of canal types according to the Vertucci’s classification\textsuperscript{25} is described in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Prevalence of canal configurations in the mandibular first and second premolars according to the Vertucci’s classification\textsuperscript{25} and Gulabivala’s classification\textsuperscript{26}.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vertucci’s classification type I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular First Premolar (n=50)</td>
<td>36 (72%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular Second Premolar (n=50)</td>
<td>41 (82%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most mandibular premolars (72% of first and 82% of second premolars) had one canal (Figure 1). In three samples of second premolars, a variation in canal configuration was observed which could not be classified under the Vertucci’s classification. These variations represented type II (Figure 1) and type III (Figure 2) of Gulabivala’s classification\textsuperscript{26}. 
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The prevalence of accessory canals was 40% in both the first and second premolars; inter-canal anastomoses was present in 12% and 10% of the first and second premolars, respectively. Apical deltas were noted in 10.3% and 13.2% of the first and second premolars, respectively (Table 2). The prevalence and direction of deviation of AF from AA are presented in Table 3. The mean distance of AF to AA and AF to AC in the first premolars was 0.33±0.2 and 0.56±0.3 mm, respectively. These values were 0.35±0.2 and 0.51±0.2 mm in the second premolars (Table 4). The AF to AA distance was recorded under a stereomicroscope, by placement of a size 6 or 8 K-file into the canal to the AF and measuring its distance to AA. On the other hand, the AC to AA distance was evaluated after clearing of the teeth, under the stereomicroscope.

**Figure 1**- Type I (a) and type V (b) according to Vertucci’s classification and type II according to Gulabivala’s classification (c) under a stereomicroscope at x16 magnification.

**Table 2**- Prevalence of anastomoses, accessory canals and apical deltas in mandibular first and second premolars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>Apical delta</th>
<th>Accessory canals</th>
<th>Anastomoses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular first premolars (n=50)</td>
<td>6 teeth (10.3%)</td>
<td>20 teeth (40%)</td>
<td>6 teeth (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular second premolars (n=50)</td>
<td>7 teeth (13.2%)</td>
<td>20 teeth (40%)</td>
<td>5 teeth (10%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2**- Schematic view of root canal configurations according to Vertucci’s classification (a) and Gulabivala’s classification (b).

**Table 3**- Prevalence and direction of deviation of apical foramen from the anatomic apex in both buccolingual and mesiodistal aspects in mandibular premolars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deviation</th>
<th>Mandibular first premolar</th>
<th>Mandibular second premolar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesial</td>
<td>25 (43.1%)</td>
<td>24 (45.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>28 (48.3%)</td>
<td>26 (49.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No deviation</td>
<td>5 (8.6%)</td>
<td>3 (5.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal</td>
<td>35 (60.3%)</td>
<td>28 (52.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingual</td>
<td>15 (25.9%)</td>
<td>19 (35.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No deviation</td>
<td>8 (13.8%)</td>
<td>6 (11.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

A good understanding of canal anatomy is essential for root canal therapy. An untreated root canal, missed by the clinician due to his/her lack of knowledge about the internal morphology of the teeth, could result in treatment failure.26 Several methods have been suggested for evaluation of root canal anatomy. These include microscopic observations,10 cross-sectioning,16,27 grinding,11 modeling28, various radiographic techniques,12 spiral CT,19 CBCT,13, 14 micro-CT15 and also clearing and injection of dye.16-20 Each method has its own limitations, advantages and disadvantages. Neelakantan et al. (2010) showed that among canal staining and clearing, CBCT, peripheral quantitative CT, Spiral CT and plane digital radiography, CBCT and peripheral quantitative CT are as accurate as the staining and clearing technique in identifying root canal anatomy.30 The canal staining and tooth clearing is typically considered as the gold standard to study the apical and root canal morphology.25 Therefore, clearing and decalcifying techniques provide the most accurate and comprehensive data. This technique produces a 3D image of root canal space. It also helps maintaining the original root canal morphology and its anastomoses.25 Tooth samples can be stored for a long time, little equipment and space is needed and the toxicity of the chemicals used is low.16 One of the limitations of the staining and clearing method is its invasive nature, while CBCT and micro-CT provide almost the same level of accuracy for detection of canal morphology in conjunction with their non-invasive nature which can be used directly to evaluate patients.31 In this study, the clearing and staining technique was employed due to its accuracy and other advantages. All the first premolars in this study were single-rooted. This result was in accord with earlier studies.14, 16, 20, 32 A study by Kazemipour et al. (2015)33 reported that 86.4% of mandibular first premolars in Yazd, Iran were single-rooted. The prevalence of non-single rooted first premolars in the study by Kazemipour et al.33 was higher than that in other studies on the Iranian population. Moreover, Chourasia et al. (2017)35 reported a lower prevalence of single-rooted mandibular first premolars (80%) in a Saudi subpopulation. These differences may be due to regional background of the tooth sources, as well as the differences in the methods used. All the second premolars were also single-rooted. Almost all of the teeth in the earlier anatomic studies were single-rooted. The incidence of two roots and three roots was extremely low.14, 16, 34

In the present study, the prevalence of different types of canal configurations in first premolars was as follows: 72% type I, 6% type II, 6% type III, 2% type IV and 14% type V according to the Vertucci’s classification; which was similar to the results of previous researches12, 16-24. Among the Iranian population, Khedmat et al. (2010)27 reported that 88.4% in Golestan subpopulation, Kazemipour et al. (2015)33 revealed that 68.1% in Yazd, Kuzekanani and Asgari (2005)35 reported that 79% in Kerman, Rahimi et al. (2007)36 showed that 70.6% in Tabriz and Sobhani et al. (2013)37 reported that 90.8% in Tehran population were type I according to the Vertucci’s classification, all in accordance with our study. The highest prevalence of type I was reported by Ok38 in a Chinese population (92.8%) and Bulut et al. (2015)14 in a Turkish population (94%). In contrast, the lowest prevalence of type I was reported by Alhadini (2013)20 as 61% in an Egyptian population. These divergent reported results may best be explained by methodological differences or by variations in sample size and ethnic background of the samples used.

The prevalence of different types of canal configurations in second premolars were 82% type I, 4% type II, 4% type III and 4% type V according to Vertucci’s classification. Rahimi et al. (2009) obtained almost the same results in an Iranian subpopulation.39 Similar results (76.3-91.2% of type I) were reported by several studies on Iranian population.14, 37. Again, the highest prevalence of type I was reported by Ok (98.5%)38 and Bulut et al. (2015) (98%)14. This study showed that the prevalence of anastomosis was 12% and 10% in the first and second premolars, respectively; the prevalence of accessory canals was 40% for both types of teeth. This result was similar to the results of previous studies12, 17, 18, 24, 39. Apical delta was present in 10.3% of the first and 13.2% of

---

Table 4: Distance of apical foramen to anatomic apex, apical foramen to apical constriction and apical constriction to anatomic apex in millimeters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mandibular first premolars</th>
<th>Mandibular second premolars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD*</td>
<td>95% confidence interval for mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical foramen to anatomic apex distance</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical foramen to apical constriction distance</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical constriction to anatomic apex distance</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Journal Dental School; Vol 37, No.1, Winter 2019; 11-16
the second premolars. Some authors reported lower prevalence rates \(^2\), \(^2\), \(^3\), \(^6\), \(^7\), \(^8\), \(^9\), \(^10\), \(^11\), \(^12\) while others reported higher rates \(^4\), \(^5\). These discrepancies in percentages are related to ethnic origins (the prime reason of the variations in the root canal configuration), sample size, the method of investigation and also the evaluators. The results of this study revealed that the AF deviation towards the buccal and mesial (31\%) and buccal and distal (24.5\%) were the most prevalent directions of deviation in the first and second premolars, respectively. This was in accordance with other studies \(^1\), \(^2\), \(^4\), \(^10\), \(^11\). AF does not normally exit at the AA, but is rather located mesially, distally, buccally or linguually relative to the apex. This variation is more prominent in older individuals due to cementum apposition during continuous remodeling of teeth as the result of physiological or pathological conditions\(^1\). The deviation of the apical foramen is not easily detectable on radiographs, especially when its opening is on the buccal or lingual wall of the root\(^6\). This issue could result in incorrect working length determination.

In the current study, it was observed that the mean distance between AF and AA in the first and second mandibular premolars was 0.3 mm. These results are approximately consistent with those found by other researchers \(^1\), \(^2\), \(^4\), \(^10\), \(^11\). The mean distance between AF and AC was 0.6 mm for the first premolars and 0.5 mm for the second premolars. Safi et al. \(^11\) reported lower rates in the population of Shiraz, Iran. Additionally, the mean distance between AC to AA was 0.9 and 0.8 mm in the first and second premolars, respectively. It was also similar to the results of previous studies \(^1\), \(^2\), \(^4\), \(^10\), \(^11\). It is assumed that the variability in the reported rates is due to different methodologies and target populations of the studies \(^6\), \(^7\). Most studies use the cross-sectioning method \(^1\), \(^2\), \(^4\), \(^10\), \(^11\), whereas in the present study we used the clearing method.

In the method used in the present study, an innovative step was added to the Robertson’s method\(^11\). In order to prevent microleakage of nitric acid and methyl salicylate into the canal space, we applied two layers of nail varnish on the margins of the temporary restoration. Additionally, although the standard time for decalcification is said to be 3 days\(^23\), it is worth noting that in the present study, some teeth took 5 to 6 days in order to be completely decalcified. Thus, ensuring adequate decalcification of the samples is highly important. This was assessed by inspecting and tactile sensation, which was carried out by an explorer and subsequently by radiographic comparison with an intact tooth.

One of the limitations of this study was inadequate information about the age of patients, whose teeth were extracted and examined. Further studies based on age and sex of patients whose teeth are evaluated are required.

**Conclusion**

Within the limitations of the current study, it was observed that mandibular first premolars present more anatomical challenges than mandibular second premolars, due to extreme variations in their root canal morphology. Even though most of the mandibular premolars have a single Vertucci’s type I canal (especially mandibular second premolars), using appropriate cleaning and irrigating methods are necessary, because there is a high prevalence of accessory canals, anastomoses and apical deltas in both the first and second premolars of the mandible.
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